

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/005,101 | 0/005,101 12/07/2001 | | Benjamin Lin | MR3029-7 | 9466 |
| 4586 | 7590 | 10/20/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| ROSENBE | | | CUFF, MICHAEL A | | |
| 3458 ELLICOTT CENTER DRIVE-SUITE 101 ELLICOTT CITY, MD 21043 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | , | | | 3627 | |

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Cummans | 10/005,101 | LIN ET AL. | | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | | |
| | Michael Cuff | 3627 | | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ju | <u>ıne 2005</u> . | | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This | This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. | 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. |)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. | Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary (| | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa | | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | Rent Application (* 10-132) | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 8 recite, "selecting the parts of said items" and "according to said selected items". First, there is not antecedent basis for "said items". Second, it is the parts that are selected, not the items, which leaves no antecedent basis for the selected items.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000.

Art Unit: 3627

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Downs et al.

Downs shows, section IX, columns 70-79, an electronic digital content store 103 (first device, providing merchandise) displays price and description of electronic content 113. The end-user device 109 (second device), columns 79-89, is able to evaluate and select electronic content. The store and the end-user communicate via the Internet (includes wire and wireless). The end-user device can, upon request, download self-installing applications, mainly player application 195, which is designed for customization by being based on software object. (column 86, lines 26-27)

Merchandise is outputted via secure container (SC, columns 24-39) and includes an expiration date (column 25, line 29). Product Ids (serial numbers) are used to identify the particular electronic content selected. The store has many functions that interact with the web server's (code or identification inherent) commerce services to create and download to the end-user device the files necessary to initiate the content download process. They also handle optional interactions to provide authorizations (codes) and accept notifications of completion of activities. (column 72, lines 54-59)

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 6/27/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant asserts Downs does not show "customizing said merchandise according to said selected items". The examiner does not concur. Please re-read the rejection. Webster defines merchandise as "the commodities or goods that are bought and sold in business". This appears to be the source of miscommunication because applicant asserts that merchandise is package software. This is not in the claims.

The action is non-final because the examiner forgot to include claims 16-20 in the first action. It should also be noted that software is usually licensed, not sold. (Just in case that this comes up in prosecution.)

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Cuff whose telephone number is (571) 272-6778. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/005,101

Art Unit: 3627

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Cuff October 3, 2005

laff 10/17/05